### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

# Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

 Client ID:
 M07892

 Date Received:
 11/03/11

 Date Extracted:
 11/04/11

 Date Analyzed:
 11/04/11

Matrix: Water
Units: ug/L (ppb)

Internal Standard:

Germanium

Water ug/L (ppb) Client: Alaskan Copper Works

Project: Metro Self Monitor, PO No. M07892

Lab ID: 111053-01 x10 Data File: 111053-01 x10.052

Instrument: ICPMS1 Operator: AP

Lower Upper % Recovery: Limit: Limit: 74 60 125

Concentration

Analyte: ug/L (ppb)

 Chromium
 1,020

 Nickel
 851

 Copper
 810

 Zinc
 11.0

### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

# Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Method Blank Not Applicable

11/04/11

Date Analyzed: 11/04/11 Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb) Client: Project:

Alaskan Copper Works

Metro Self Monitor, PO No. M07892 I1-766 mb

Lab ID: Data File:

I1-766 mb.038 Instrument: ICPMS1

Operator: AP

Lower

Upper

Internal Standard: Germanium

% Recovery: 88

Limit: 60

Limit: 125

Concentration

Analyte:

ug/L (ppb)

Chromium <1 Nickel <1 Copper<1 Zinc <1

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

Date of Report: 11/09/11 Date Received: 11/03/11

Project: Metro Self Monitor, PO No. M07892, F&BI 111053

# QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 110405-06 (Matrix Spike)

				Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	102	97	67-132	5
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	2.36	100	95	73-119	5
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	100	94	50-144	6
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	102	97	46-148	5

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

	Percent										
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance							
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria							
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	99	66-135							
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	101	67-134							
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	100	66-134							
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	100	57-135							

# **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

## **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- $\mbox{d} v$  Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- il The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- $\operatorname{pr}$  The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

111.053		E		SAMPLERS			TOI	DY		ME	-	-	03	-11		ge#	AI 2	
Send Resport to Genera T Hompson  Company Author Coppen Works  Address 628 S. How End So				PROJECT NAME AND.  MESTRO Sels monitor						# 04 S7840 M				Page # of TERNAROUND TIME O Standard (2 Peoles)  X 11 12 14				
City, State, ZIP_SCA Planne # 206-571-60	wie u	A 98	3/34	REMARKS	331					2010				io	Dispo	se ad	ter 30 d inples	
	7				ľ.				-	ANAL	-		equ	EST	SD	-1		
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	# of containers	TPW Diesel	TPM: Gasoline	BTEX by SULLE	VOCs by 8260	SVOCs by 12878	SAR	Och MEZN						Notes
mo 7892	01	11/3/11	12:30	HO							1	7						
									1		+							
									1	t	+	1	1					-
										1	+	1	-	-				
												1						
**************************************		5 - Asia 124								+	+	+			-			
Briedman & Brussa, Inc. 1912 16th Avenue West	SH / SAI AND TROP		婦	Ger	General Thompson				1	7	Seni			ΝY	XY		ATE 3/11	/28
Secretia, WA 98119-2029	Jaro			7000 0	DO	10	)			1			2	7		1	//	11
h. (206) 295-8802 Reliaguished by:			and applying manager on a primary		-h	T C		<del></del>		+				->	न । !	1		
RMF-COCVEDE.DOC		<del></del>	<del>un i jane e</del>	winds -	<del>~~~~</del>				Charles .	1-			mpl	es re	ceive	ed at	16	_°C

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

November 9, 2011

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on November 3, 2011 from the Metro Self Monitor, PO No. M07892, F&BI 111053 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU1109R.DOC